

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	09/904,587	07/14/2001	Edward K. Pavelchek	50161-3C	7910
	21874 7	590 04/07/2003			
	EDWARDS &	& ANGELL, LLP	EXAMINER		
1	P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA			BARRECA, NICOLE M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1756	
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H6				
	Application No.	plicant(s)				
	09/904,587	PAVELCHEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAILING DATE CHI	Nicole M. Barreca	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
1	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · ·	, ,				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
<u></u>	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All ∖b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/904,587

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to an antireflective composition comprising a resin binder, an acid or thermal generator and a photoacid generator and coated substrate having this antireflective coating, classified in class 430, subclass 270.1.
 - II. Claim 14, drawn to a coated substrate having an antireflective composition comprising a resin binder, a photoacid generator and no crosslinker, classified in class 430, subclass 14.
 - III. Claims 15-19, drawn to a method for forming a photoresist image using an antireflective coating, classified in class 430, subclass 322.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are an antireflective composition a resin binder, an acid or thermal generator and a photoacid generator and an antireflective composition comprising a resin binder, a photoacid generator and no crosslinker. They are not shown as being capable of being used together and have different modes of operation. Invention I may include a crosslinker, while Invention II is claimed to



Application/Control Number: 09/904,587

Art Unit: 1756

explicitly exclude a crosslinker. Invention I also requires an acid or thermal generator which is not included in the composition of Invention II.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as an antireflective composition and coated substrate not comprising an acid or thermal generator.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as an antireflective composition and coated substrate comprising a crosslinker.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,587

Art Unit: 1756

6. A telephone call was made to Peter Corless on 2/11/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

8. This application claims to be a division of Application No. 09/616,851, filed 7/14/2000. A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth only that portion of the earlier disclosure which is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application. Application No. 09/616,851 however does not include common subject matter or common inventors.

Page 5

Oath/Declaration -

9. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: it claims priority to 09/616,851.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicole M. Barreca whose telephone number is 703-308-7968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am-6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Nicole Barreca Patent Examiner Art Unit 1756

April 4, 2003